

Advances In Abdominal Access For Laparoscopic Surgery

*Dr. Karansinh Parve Patil, * Dr. Tejashree Junagade,** Dr. Jayant Gadekar

*Resident, *** Professor and Head, Department of Surgery, Dr. Vithalrao Vikhe Patil Foundation's Medical College, Ahmednagar.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Tejashree Junagade

Mail id: drkaranparve@gmail.com

Mobile No.: 9130417799

Address : Department of Surgery, Dr. Vithalrao Vikhe Patil Foundation's Medical College, Ahmednagar.

Abstract :

There are several laparoscopic surgery abdominal wall access techniques. The most useful and well-established is the open Hasson technique. The Veress needle closed technique is another alternative, but its use is controversial owing to possibly higher rates of rare insertion complications. Optical trocars have been developed in an attempt to decrease complication rates even further, although the evidence base supporting their use is limited. Single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) is used in certain centers to carry out various abdominal surgeries, but most commonly appendectomy and cholecystectomy. To date, SILS appears safe and feasible, with possibly greater costs and operative time. Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) is an evolving area of incisionless surgery. While some progress has been made in achieving transgastric or transvaginal peritoneal endoscopic access, this technique remains largely unproven and best-suited for experimental or clinical trial protocols.

Introduction : Over the last 20 years, laparoscopy has emerged as one of the primary operative techniques within the sphere of abdominal surgery. During this time, it has undergone significant changes as new technologies and operative approaches have become available. Besides offering smaller incisions, and thus often a better cosmetic result, laparoscopic surgery has also been shown to decrease postoperative pain, reduce the time required to

return to work, and reduce the number of wound infections and hernias compared to the more traditional open technique.⁽¹⁾

Despite these encouraging results, complications do occur during laparoscopic surgery, many of which take place during initial entry into the peritoneal cavity. This has led to the development of several techniques for entry, including the open Hasson method, the Veress needle method, and the use of specialized instruments such as optical trocars. In addition, the evolution of laparoscopy has led to the development of novel, progressively less invasive approaches, including single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) and natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) in an effort to minimize or eliminate abdominal incisions and their related complications.

This paper will discuss both the currently available laparoscopic access techniques, as well as the newer SILS and NOTES techniques, including their application, efficacy, and complications.

Hasson technique : The Hasson technique refers to one method of accessing the abdomen for laparoscopic surgery via an open approach. In this method, a small, 1.5–2 cm, incision is made either inferior or superior to the umbilicus when the patient is supine. The incision itself may be in a vertical or horizontal direction depending on the surgeon's preference. The incision is then extended down through the subcutaneous tissues until the abdominal fascia can be grasped and elevated with an appropriate instrument, such as a Kocher forceps. Visualization of the fascia can be facilitated with the use of retractors, such as an S-retractor or Langenbeck.⁽²⁾

Once the fascia has been grasped on either side of the midline, it is divided sharply for 1–2 cm with a scalpel. This should allow for visualization and grasping of the peritoneum with a smaller instrument, such as snap or Kelly. The peritoneum is then incised under direct visualization. With the peritoneum open, the surgeon inserts a finger or blunt instrument, such as a Kelly clamp, to ascertain whether or not access has truly been achieved.

The traditional Hasson trocar, or cannula, consists of three separate pieces: an outer sheath, a cone-shaped

attachment, and blunt introducer (obturator).⁽³⁾ In addition, the cone-shaped attachment can be adjusted along the sheath to accommodate for the length of cannula required to access the abdomen. The cannula may also be further secured by wrapping the anchoring stitches around specialized struts on either side of the sheath.

To introduce the Hasson cannula, the fully assembled device should be advanced into the peritoneal cavity with the blunt introducer in place. Once an adequate length of the cannula is inside the abdomen, the cone-shaped attachment is moved down to the skin incision and secured to the sheath. The anchoring stitches are then tightened onto the struts to further secure the trocar.

Once the access trocar is secure, the abdomen is insufflated to a pressure of 15 mmHg. Ideally, gas should flow through a 12 mm port at approximately 10 L/minute, and the pressure reading should slowly increase until the desired settings are reached. Approximately 3–6 L of gas are required to insufflate the standard abdomen. Initially, the measured pressure in the abdomen should be low (3–4 mmHg), though this may be closer to 8 mmHg in obese patients.

Although the open technique has been described here for supra- and infra-umbilical access, it may be used for essentially all port placement locations. As it relies on direct visualization of the fascia and peritoneum during entry, the Hasson technique is often cited as being the safest of entry techniques.

Unfortunately, laparoscopic surgery is not without complications, and the majority of these complications occur during initial entry into the abdomen. Complications may arise from the fascial incision, peritoneal division, or the introduction of the obturator and laparoscope. Such complications may result in the conversion of a laparoscopic procedure to open, reoperation, and significant morbidity and mortality.⁽²⁾

Despite the potential for serious harm, complications relating to laparoscopic access are relatively rare. In one review of the literature, bowel perforation was reported in 1.8 per 1,000 cases (0.18%) and major vessel injury at 0.9 per 1,000 (0.09%) cases.⁽²⁾ In Hasson's initial assessment, the rate of wound infection was also low at 0.4%.⁽⁴⁾

Mortality is also extremely low with several studies suggesting a rate approaching 0%.⁽⁴⁾ In his own retrospective series, Hasson noted complications related to open access in 0.5% of 5,284 patients.⁽⁵⁾ Of these complications, the majority were wound infections or minor hematomas. Only one patient developed an umbilical hernia that required reoperation, and only one patient had an inadvertent small bowel injury that was repaired at the time of the operation.⁽⁵⁾

Veress needle/ closed technique : Alternatively, some surgeons opt to perform a “closed” form of laparoscopic access using a specialized needle to puncture the abdomen. This needle, known as a Veress needle, is an approximately 14-gauge needle that is composed of a sheath and a retractable blunt tip. Disposable versions of the needle also exist.⁽³⁾

The reusable Veress needle should be checked prior to usage by retracting the sheath and ensuring that it springs back into place when released. This ensures that the blunt tip will retract appropriately when it penetrates the various layers of the abdominal wall.

In order to use the closed technique, the patient is placed in the supine position and a small incision made either superior or inferior to the umbilicus. The needle is classically inserted at either a 45° or 90° angle. Ideally, there should be several “pops”, or moments of resistance followed by giving, as the needle passes through the fascia and then through the peritoneum. There is often a definitive “click” when the needle passes through the peritoneum and the blunt tip retracts.⁽³⁾

Several steps have been recommended in the literature in order to double check the correct placement of the Veress needle should be freely mobile within the abdominal cavity, allowing for further advancement without appreciable resistance.⁽³⁾

In addition to the above maneuvers, perhaps the most useful test to ensure the Veress is in the correct location comes when it is hooked up to the CO₂ insufflator. As the Veress needle has a relatively small diameter, the flow of CO₂ through the insufflator cannot exceed 3–4 mmHg.

Despite the fact that the Veress needle entry has a low

complication rate, it is nevertheless considered more dangerous than the open technique.⁽⁴⁾ Similar to the open technique, major complications include bowel injury, major vascular injury, and wound infections. In his initial report comparing the open to closed technique, Hasson determined that the rate of the bowel and major vascular injury were 0.2% and 0.2%, respectively.⁽⁴⁾

Interestingly, some authors have suggested that the Veress technique may result in decreased incisional hernia rates following laparoscopy. One retrospective review examined 747 patients undergoing laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and found a hernia rate of 1.20% in the Hasson port site (midline), whereas no hernias were detected in either 12 mm or 5 mm port sites placed via the Veress-based VersaStep trocar system (US Surgical, Norwalk, CT, USA). Despite the possibility of fewer incisional hernias, the Veress technique continues to have higher rates of visceral and vascular injury and should be considered inferior to the open technique.

Optical trocars : Although complication rates with both the open and Veress needle entry techniques are relatively low, they can nevertheless be devastating. Thus, efforts have been made to reduce them further. One such method involves placing the laparoscope directly within a sharp trocar during insertion, allowing the surgeon to directly visualize the various layers of the abdominal wall as the trocar is advanced. The specialized trocars created for this purpose are collectively known as optical trocars.

Various different optical trocars exist on the market, and the exact recommended technique for their insertion varies depending on the manufacturer. For example, the Endopath Xcel trocar (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Somerville, NJ, USA) claims a bladeless optical tip and is positioned by slowly twisting the trocar into position. Similarly, the Versaport Bladeless Optical Trocar (Covidien, Mansfield, MA, USA) functions by dilating the tissue in a radial fashion under 0° laparoscope visualization. In many instances, the manufacturer recommends using these trocars with a Veress needle insufflation technique.

Several investigators have studied the safety of optical trocars in practice. In their review of 650 patients undergoing a variety of general surgery procedures over a

4-year period, String et al demonstrated an extremely low complication rate. Only one injury to the bowel and one to the gallbladder were described, both of which were repaired immediately. Overall, the complication rate at entry was a remarkably low at 0.3%.⁽⁶⁾ Both injuries occurred in patients with previous surgical scars.

Single-port laparoscopy : Single-port laparoscopy, or SILS, is an emerging form of minimally invasive surgery that relies on a single access point to perform a laparoscopic operation while minimizing scarring. It is still very much in its infancy and has not yet been adopted widely, but it has the potential to gain popularity as it uses modified laparoscopic instruments. This is in contrast with other novel techniques of minimally invasive surgery, such as NOTES, that require not only a steep learning curve, but also novel instruments and techniques.⁽⁷⁾

There are currently no agreed-upon standard techniques for the performance of SILS, but comprehensive review articles in the literature have summarized the various techniques available. Initial access to the abdominal cavity can be done in either the open or Veress method, as previously described. The location of the access incision varies, with some surgeons using umbilical access while others take an infraumbilical or periumbilical approach. Intra-abdominal pressure settings are also reported to be variable, ranging from 6 mmHg to 11 mmHg.⁽⁷⁾

In the three-port technique, a single incision is made in the periumbilical skin and three separate ports are introduced via three separate fascial incisions. A combination of trocars has been reported, including the placement of one 5 mm and two 3 mm ports, or a larger 15 mm and two 3 mm ports.

Similarly, a two-port technique has been successfully demonstrated in SILS cholecystectomy. In order to do this, a stay suture or similar retracting device is introduced through the skin and fascia in a different location. This device is then attached to the gallbladder, often at the fundus or Hartmann's Pouch, and gently pulled taut to serve as retractors. By doing so, one less port can be placed through the fascia at the periumbilical incision.⁽⁸⁾

A one-port access technique is also common and relies on

a single large trocar that penetrates the fascia but has the ability to accommodate several additional channels. The size of the access port is variable. This technique is commonly used for SILS appendectomies, and a large number of different access devices have been created to accommodate them.

Similarly, a GelPort (Applied Medical) hand-access device can be used as the principal access point, with room to introduce three separate trocars at varying distances from one another. Numerous abdominal operations using SILS have been described.

Another useful technique is the insertion of a retraction device separately from the SILS port. Retraction devices can include "traction stitches" on the fundus and body of the gallbladder, a carefully placed endoloop on the fundus, or a hook-shaped Kirschner wire that can be bent into an appropriate shape.⁽⁹⁾

Appendectomies, too, can be accomplished through a variety of different techniques.

Despite the cosmetic advantages of using a single abdominal access point, SILS is still being evaluated in regards to its safety and efficacy compared to conventional laparoscopy. Intraoperative complications such as gallbladder perforation and hemorrhage were rare. Postoperative complications were similarly scarce, and included urinary retention, wound infection, hematoma, and bile leaks.^[10]

Overall, it seems that data regarding SILS are still somewhat mixed. Although the procedure is feasible and has a complication rate approaching that of standard laparoscopy, it is associated with longer operation times and increased cost, and therefore remains a largely experimental technique at the present time.⁽¹¹⁾

Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery :

Although SILS has theoretically reduced the potential number of incisions for laparoscopic surgery to just one, the desire to completely eliminate surgical scars remains. As a result, some surgeons have looked for alternate sites to access the peritoneal cavity, including natural orifices such as the mouth, vagina, anus, and urethra. This idea originated as endoscopists observed that patients

recovered fairly well after accidental puncture of visceral organs such as the stomach or colon during advanced endoscopic procedures.⁽¹²⁾

Aside from the cosmetic benefits of having no visible incision, there are several theoretical advantages that NOTES offers. For example, there may be decreased intra-abdominal adhesions, decreased hernia rates, decreased postoperative pain, shorter recovery time, and decreased surgical site infections. Unfortunately, many of these benefits remain unproven as few human studies exist in the literature to support them.

The first appendectomy was reportedly done in India in 2006, but was not presented in the literature.^[30] In 2008, Hazey et al reported the first use of transgastric peritonoscopy. In their study, patients with pancreatic masses underwent both laparoscopy and NOTES peritonoscopy. Ultimately, they concluded that transgastric peritonoscopy was again both safe and efficacious.⁽¹³⁾

Some investigators have used this combination with a transperitoneal incision in a hybrid laparoscopic/NOTES technique. Others have successfully placed a trocar and 5 mm retractors via separate colpotomies to achieve appropriate visualization of their target organs.

In their study, Bulian et al report significantly less postoperative pain using this technique compared to needlescopic cholecystectomy both at 2 and 10 days postoperatively using the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS)-11 (8 vs 14 on postoperative day 2, 22 vs 41 on postoperative day 10), as well as superior cosmetic results and quality of life scores. These benefits did not come at the expense of either operative time or, more importantly, patient safety.⁽¹⁴⁾

Although less commonly used, the majority of patients prefer a transgastric approach for NOTES. In this technique, an endoscope is introduced into the stomach and then a needle-knife or sphincterotome is used to puncture its anterior wall. The puncture can then be dilated with a pyloric dilating balloon.⁽²⁹⁾ Once access to the peritoneal cavity has been accomplished, the procedure of choice is performed and the gastrotomy is closed. Several novel techniques are being developed to

facilitate this, including the use of multiple conventional clips as well as experimental endoscopic suturing devices and closure over a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube.

Unfortunately, the majority of human studies to date have looked primarily at the technical feasibility of NOTES rather than its overall safety and efficacy.

Overall, the complication rate from NOTES in human studies has varied considerably with reports ranging from 0% to 33%.⁽¹⁵⁾ These have ranged in severity from simple wound infections to more serious complications such as biliary leakage and ureteric injuries, and are likely related to both the procedure performed and the access site.

Despite significant early enthusiasm, NOTES cannot presently be recommended to routine clinical practice. While cosmetically appealing, NOTES should presently remain limited to well-designed experimental protocols or appropriately powered randomized clinical trials.

Conclusion:

Since its birth in the 1980s, laparoscopic surgery has become a primary tool in the skill set of many abdominal surgeons. Despite its efficacy and widespread use, however, it is still associated with injuries to both visceral and vascular structures, many of which occur at entry into the abdominal cavity. Of the available techniques, the open Hasson approach appears to be the safest, though good results have also been seen using Veress needles and optical trocars. In addition, technological advances have led to the development of newer techniques, such as SILS and NOTES. Although technically feasible, both approaches remain largely experimental and have not yet been definitively shown to be superior to standard laparoscopy in regards to operative time and complication rates. Nevertheless, as these technologies are further refined, laparoscopic access techniques will continue to be modified in an attempt to increase efficacy, and most importantly, increase patient safety by minimizing complications

References :

1. Shabanzadeh DM, Sørensen LT. Laparoscopic surgery compared with open surgery decreases surgical site infection in obese patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2012;256(6):934–945.
2. Ahmad G, O'Flynn H, Duffy JM, Phillips K, Watson A. Laparoscopic entry techniques. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;2:CD006583.
3. Nguyen NT, Scott-Conner CEH. *The SAGES Manual.* Berlin, Germany: Springer; 2012.
4. Vilos GA, Ternamian A, Dempster J. Laparoscopic entry: a review of techniques, technologies, and complications. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2007;29(5):433–465.
5. Hasson HM, Rotman C, Rana N, Kumari NA. Open laparoscopy: 29-year experience. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;96(5 Pt 1):763–766.
6. Bonjer HJ, Hazebroek EJ, Kazemier G. Open versus closed establishment of pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic surgery. *Br J Surg.* 1997;84(5):599–602.
7. Larobina M, Nottle P. Complete evidence regarding major vascular injuries during laparoscopic access. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* 2005;15(3):119–123.
8. Chapron CM, Pierre F, Lacroix S, Querleu D. Major vascular injuries during gynecologic laparoscopy. *J Am Coll Surg.* 1997;185(5):461–465.
9. Schäfer M, Lauper M, Krähenbühl L. Trocar and Veress needle injuries during laparoscopy. *Surg Endosc.* 2001;15(3):275–280.
10. Berch BR, Torquati A, Lutfi RE, Richards WO. Experience with the optical access trocar for safe and rapid entry in the performance of laparoscopic gastric bypass. *Surg Endosc.* 2006;20(8):1238–1241.

11. String A, Berber E, Foroutani A, Macho JR, Pearl JM, Siperstein AE. Use of the optical access trocar for safe and rapid entry in various laparoscopic procedures. *Surg Endosc.* 2001;15(6):570–573.
12. Hallfeldt KK, Trupka A, Kalteis T, Stuetzle H. Safe creation of pneumoperitoneum using an optical trocar. *Surg Endosc.* 1999;13(3):306–307.
13. Froghi F, Sodergren MH, Darzi A, Paraskeva P. Single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) in general surgery: a review of current practice. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* 2010;20(4):191–204.
14. Gumbs AA, Milone L, Sinha P, Bessler M. Totally transumbilical laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2009;13(3):533–534.
15. Zhu JF, Hu H, Ma YZ, Xu MZ. Totally transumbilical endoscopic cholecystectomy without visible abdominal scar using improved instruments. *Surg Endosc.* 2009;23(8):1781–1784.